



For the AIDA Country Report: Hungary – Update on the year 2024, responses were received from the following authorities:

- 1. Department of Citizenship and Civil Registration
- 2. Department for European Home Affairs Cooperation, Ministry of Interior

The AIDA country reports are part of the Asylum Information Database (AIDA), partially funded by the European Union's Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF). The contents of this report are the sole responsibility of ECRE and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission.



To whom it might concern,

I am writing you on behalf of the European Council on Refugees and Exiles (ECRE), an alliance of 122 organisations across 40 European countries, which works on displacement in Europe and in Europe's external policies.

Among other activities, ECRE carries out research and training on EU asylum law, including management of the Asylum Information Database (AIDA), which contains information on asylum procedures, reception conditions, detention and the content of international protection in 23 European countries. The AIDA database is used by EU policy-makers, courts, researcher, practitioners and the media across Europe. It is partially funded by the EU under the Asylum Integration and Migration Fund (AMIF).

ECRE is currently updating the country reports in the AIDA database to include factual information for the year 2023.

In this context, we would like to offer you the right of reply on the material in the reports for the AIDA project concerning Hungary before its publication.

If you have any comments on the facts and/or legislative information presented in the report please feel free to contact ECRE within the next two weeks, after which ECRE will proceed with the publication of the report.

Any comments will be treated in confidence, and only shared with the authors of the reports (unless you indicate otherwise, see below). We have provided the attached template to structure your comments in case that is useful; you may also provide comments in any other format. However, comments should be provided in English. Any comments you may have will be duly taken into account.

Should it be of interest to you, we would be pleased to publish comments in a separate Annex to the country report on the AIDA website. Please inform us if you are interested in taking up this option.

We kindly request that you submit your comments within two weeks from the date of this letter. Please note that ECRE will only be able to consider comments provided within this deadline, to avoid delays in publication.

You will find the AIDA Project Right of Reply Template below, and the draft country report on Hungary attached to this letter.

We look forward to your reply and remain at your disposal should you have any questions or need further information.

Yours sincerely, Catherine Woollard Director, ECRE

## Member State comments to the 2024 AIDA country report on Hungary – Department of Citizenship and Civil Registration

The Asylum Information Database (AIDA) is managed by the European Council on Refugees and Exiles (ECRE). It aims to provide up-to date information which is accessible to researchers, advocates, legal practitioners and the general public through the dedicated website www.asylumineurope.org.

AIDA covers 24 countries, including 19 EU Member States (AT, BE, BG, CY, DE, ES, FR, GR, HR, HU, IE, IT, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, and SI) and 5 non-EU countries (Serbia, Switzerland, Türkiye, Ukraine and the United Kingdom). Each report documents asylum procedures, reception conditions, detention and the content of international protection in the country concerned.

Based on the final draft for the AIDA country report onHungary,we would like to offer you the opportunity of a right of reply concerning the facts and legislative information presented in the report.ECRE will only be able to consider comments that are provided in the template belowwithin two weeks from the date of receipt, to avoid delays in publication.

Upon the request of the Member State, the comments will be published in a separate annex to the country report on the AIDA website. Otherwise, they will be treated as confidential. The template reflects the chapters of the report.

Please ensure that responses remain within the scope of each section. Where possible, information provided should be sourced.

# Reply

#### 1. Statistics

| Reference to statistics<br>extracted from the country<br>report | Page and section | Comments, corrections, or additional statistical information and updates |
|---|------------------|--|
|   |                  |  |
|   |                  |  |
|   |                  |  |
| Add lines as required   |                  |  |

Any additional remarks on the section on statistics:

• • •

### 2. Asylum Procedure

Please comment using *either* the following structure or the table below.

#### Section, page number in the report

Text in the report (extract)

#### ... Comment

#### ...

| Extract from the country<br>report | Page and section | Comments |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------|
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
| Add lines as required.             |                  |          |

Any additional remarks on the section on the asylum procedure:

• • •

### 3. Reception Conditions

Please comment using *either* the following structure *or* the table below.

### Section, page number in the report

### Text in the report (extract)

## Comment

• • •

| Extract from the country<br>report | Page and section | Comments |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------|
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
| Add lines as required.             |                  |          |

Any additional remarks on the section on reception conditions: ...

### 3. Detention of Asylum Seekers

Please comment using *either* the following structure *or* the table below:

### Section, page number in the report

## Text in the report (extract)

#### ... Comment

...

| Extract from the country<br>report | Page and section | Comments |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------|
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
|                                    |                  |          |
| Add lines as required.             |                  |          |

Any additional remarks on the section on detention of a sylum seekers:  $\ldots$ 

## 4. Content of International Protection

| Extract from the country report   | Page and section | Comments   |
|---|------------------|--|
| Association, in practice after 3 years with an established domicile refugees cannot be granted citizenship because they usually have difficulties fulfilling the other criteria due to the lack of proper integration support, including in 2024.   | p.120<br>4       | This number is actually 0 in 2024. In 2024, 1 recognized stateless person submitted an application for naturalization, and 0 of this 1 application were rejected.  |
| There is no procedural deadline<br>set out in the law concerning the<br>maximum deadline for issuing a<br>decision, although the Government<br>Office of Budapest shall forward<br>the applications for naturalisation<br>to the Minister of Interior within<br>three months. In practice, the<br>general procedural time takes at<br>least 1 month to 1,5 years. | p. 122.<br>4.    | Pursuant to Section 17(2) of Act LV of 1993 on<br>Hungarian Citizenship, the processing time is 3<br>months, which may be extended by three months in<br>justified cases. The following deadlines are not<br>included in these deadlines:<br>a) the time from the request to remedy the<br>deficiency until its completion; b) the duration of<br>the suspension of the procedure; c) the duration of<br>the required opinion; d) the time from the request<br>to another authority or state body to obtain data or<br>documents concerning citizenship until the receipt<br>of the response.<br>According to the current regulations, the decision<br>proposal regarding the naturalization application is<br>not sent to the Minister of the Interior, but to the<br>Minister of the Prime Minister's Office, as the |

| <ul> <li>international protection applied<br/>for Hungarian citizenship (50<br/>refugees and 29 beneficiaries of<br/>subsidiary protection). In the same<br/>year, 8 refugees (2 Nigerian and 2<br/>stateless/Palestine, 1 Libyan,1<br/>Cameroonian, 1 Ethiopian, 1<br/>Afghan) and 8 beneficiaries of<br/>subsidiary protection (2 Afghan, 2<br/>Cuban, 1 Ethiopian, 1 Syrian, 1<br/>Pakistani, 1 Libyan) obtained<br/>citizenship. Out of the 16 people, 2<br/>former refugees (2 Nigerian<br/>nationals) were minors. The<br/>applications of beneficiaries of<br/>international protection were<br/>rejected in 42 cases: the<br/>applications of 21 refugees<br/>(breakdown by the three main<br/>nationalities: 6 Afghans, 3 Iranians,<br/>2-2-2 Armenian, Iraqi and Turkish)<br/>and 8 beneficiaries of subsidiary<br/>protection (breakdown by the<br/>nationalities: 2 Afghans, 2 Cubans,<br/>1-1-1 Pakistani, Syrian, Libyan,<br/>Egyptian].The number of<br/>applicants is approximately the</li> </ul> |  | minister responsible for citizenship matters.   |
|--|--|---|
| Add lines as required.   | international protection applied<br>for Hungarian citizenship (50<br>refugees and 29 beneficiaries of<br>subsidiary protection). In the same<br>year, 8 refugees (2 Nigerian and 2<br>stateless/Palestine, 1 Libyan,1<br>Cameroonian, 1 Ethiopian, 1<br>Afghan) and 8 beneficiaries of<br>subsidiary protection (2 Afghan, 2<br>Cuban, 1 Ethiopian, 1 Syrian, 1<br>Pakistani, 1 Libyan) obtained<br>citizenship. Out of the 16 people, 2<br>former refugees (2 Nigerian<br>nationals) were minors. The<br>applications of beneficiaries of<br>international protection were<br>rejected in 42 cases: the<br>applications of 21 refugees<br>(breakdown by the three main<br>nationalities: 6 Afghans, 3 Iranians,<br>2-2-2 Armenian, Iraqi and Turkish)<br>and 8 beneficiaries of subsidiary<br>protection (breakdown by the<br>nationalities: 2 Afghans, 2 Cubans,<br>1-1-1-1 Pakistani, Syrian, Libyan,<br>Egyptian).The number of<br>applicants is approximately the<br>same as it was in 2023 (80). | The figures in the highlighted sentence do not add<br>up to the 42 main rejected application. |

Any additional remarks on the section on the content of international protection:  $\ldots$ 

## 5. Additional remarks

## Member State comments to the 2024 AIDA country report on Hungary – Department for European Home Affairs Cooperation, Ministry of Interior

The Asylum Information Database (AIDA) is managed by the European Council on Refugees and Exiles (ECRE). It aims to provide up-to date information which is accessible to researchers, advocates, legal practitioners and the general public through the dedicated website www.asylumineurope.org. It covers 23 countries, including 19 EU Member States (AT, BE, BG, CY, DE, ES, FR, GR, HR, HU, IE, IT, MT, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, and SI) and 4 non-EU countries (Serbia, Switzerland, Türkiye, and the United Kingdom). The database also seeks to promote the implementation and transposition of EU asylum legislation reflecting the highest possible standards of protection in line with international refugee and human rights law and based on best practice. Each report documents asylum procedures, reception conditions, detention and content of international protection in the country it covers.

Based on the final draft for the AIDA country report on Hungary, we would like to offer you the opportunity to provide your comments on the facts and legislative information presented in the report.

ECRE will only be able to consider comments provided in English within two weeks from the date of receipt, to avoid delays in publication.

Upon request from the Member State, the comments will be published in a separate annex to the country report on the AIDA website.

We look forward to receiving your feedback.

# Reply

#### **General remark**

The Ministry of the Interior of Hungary wishes to reject in its entirety the draft country report on Hungary prepared by the European Council on Refugees and Exiles. The report remains highly subjective, biased and permeated by a malicious attitude towards the Hungarian law enforcement authorities. Although last year Hungary provided several amendments to correct factual errors in the text of the Report, they were not taken into account.